

John Keel, CPA State Auditor

A Report on State Agency and **Transportation Authority Implementation of** Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions

November 5, 2012

Members of the Legislative Audit Committee:

The State Auditor's Office has reviewed the status of 10 state agencies' and 1 public transit authority's implementation of 25 non-statutory recommendations (management actions) included in the Sunset Advisory Commission Report to the 82nd Legislature. The entities involved included:

- Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority.
- Commission on State Emergency Communications.
- Commission on Environmental Quality.
- Texas A&M Forest Service.
- State Committee of Examiners in the Fitting and Dispensing of Hearing Instruments.
- Office of Injured Employee Counsel.
- Racing Commission.
- Soil and Water Conservation Board.
- Department of Transportation.
- Water Development Board.
- Division of Department of Insurance – Workers' Compensation.

Texas Government Code, Section 325.012 (d), provides for the State Auditor's Office's examination
of the non-statutory recommendations (management
actions) that the Sunset Advisory Commission
(Commission) recommends.
<u> </u>

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

The objective of this report was to report on the implementation status of the 25 management actions included in the Sunset Advisory Commission Report to the 82nd Legislature.

The scope included 11 entities to which the Commission directed management actions in its report to the 82nd Legislature.

This report relied on self-reported information provided by the entities. The information in this report was not subject to the tests and confirmations that would be performed in an audit.

The following members of the State Auditor's staff performed the project:

- Lucien Hughes (Project Manager)
- Charles Wilson, MPAff (Assistant Project Manager)
- Dana Musgrave, MBA (Quality Control Reviewer)
- John Young, MPAff (Audit Manager)

All of the entities reported that they had either fully implemented or were in the process of implementing all Twenty of the 25 management actions were reported as having been fully or management actions. substantially implemented; 5 management actions were reported as incomplete or ongoing. No entity reported that it had not implemented management actions in response to the Sunset Advisory Commission's recommendations.

SAO Report No. 13-006

Members of the Legislative Audit Committee November 5, 2012 Page 2

The table in the attachment to this letter summarizes the implementation status of the management actions at the 11 entities reviewed.

We appreciate the entities' cooperation with this report. If you have any questions, please contact John Young, Audit Manager, or me at (512) 936-9500.

Sincerely,

John Keel, CPA State Auditor

Attachment

 cc: The Honorable Rick Perry, Governor of Texas Boards, commissions, and executive management of the following entities: Sunset Advisory Commission Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority Commission on State Emergency Communications Commission on Environmental Quality Texas A&M Forest Service State Committee of Examiners in the Fitting and Dispensing of Hearing Instruments Office of Injured Employee Counsel Racing Commission Soil and Water Conservation Board Department of Transportation Water Development Board Department of Insurance – Division of Workers' Compensation



This document is not copyrighted. Readers may make additional copies of this report as needed. In addition, most State Auditor's Office reports may be downloaded from our Web site: www.sao.state.tx.us.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, this document may also be requested in alternative formats. To do so, contact our report request line at (512) 936-9500 (Voice), (512) 936-9400 (FAX), 1-800-RELAY-TX (TDD), or visit the Robert E. Johnson Building, 1501 North Congress Avenue, Suite 4.224, Austin, Texas 78701.

The State Auditor's Office is an equal opportunity employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability in employment or in the provision of services, programs, or activities.

To report waste, fraud, or abuse in state government call the SAO Hotline: 1-800-TX-AUDIT.

Attachment

Entities' Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions

Table 1 presents information on each entity's implementation of non-statutory recommendations (management actions) in the *Sunset Advisory Commission Report to the 82nd Legislature*. The definitions of each implementation status are as follows:

- Fully Implemented: Successful development and use of a process, system, or policy to implement a management action.
- Substantially Implemented: Successful development but inconsistent use of a process, system, or policy to implement a management action.
- Incomplete or Ongoing: Ongoing development of a process, system, or policy to address a management action.
- Not Implemented: Lack of a formal process, system, or policy to address a management action.

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
		Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority
The [Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority] Board should evaluate, and take action on, measures to reduce costs and increase revenues. To help attain a baseline operating reserve, Sunset staff identified a number of areas the Board should review to reduce costs and increase revenues. If appropriate, the Board should incorporate these changes in its fiscal year 2011 budget, or	Fully Implemented as of September 7, 2012	 Each individual Sunset recommendation to reduce operating costs has been thoughtfully considered by the Board as part of the approving annual operating and/or capital budgets. See details below. 5% across the board reduction in costs, based on the fiscal yr 2010 operating & capital budget of \$206.2 M - (Frank Ordaz, Director of Financial Planning) Status: Complete - Not selected for implementation In preparing the FY2011 budget, each department provided a list of expense reductions that equaled five-percent of non-service related costs. The finance department incorporated a number of these reductions into the proposed budget; however, without significant changes to the labor and management agreements with StarTran, an overall five-percent reduction likely would have required reductions to transit service. In response to public input, the agency did not elect to reduce service. CMTA & StarTran admin staff to contribute 4% of wages to their pension plan - (Donna Simmons, Human Resources Director) Capital Metro's benefits consultant completed a benchmarking and funding status study on the pension plan for administrative employees in 2011. Based on the study, staff recommended (and the Board approved) a change in the actuarial cost method used to determine annual plan contributions but recommended that no change be made to require employee contributions until after the labor structure transition is complete. This will allow Capital Metro to fully assess the impact of employee reductions on the Plan. The study also found the formula for retirement benefits to be substantially lower than for most governmental plans that require an employee contribution. 10% reduction in cost by revising paratransit policies that exceed ADA requirements, including taxi vouchers, open returns, door to door services, and reservations - (Chad Ballentine, Director Paratransit)

Table 1

Attachment

A Report on State Agency and Transportation Authority Implementation of

Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions

SAO Report No. 13-006

November 2012

Page 1

	Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions	
implement sooner		Status: Complete - All paratransit policy changes have been fully implemented	
where feasible:		Six policies were successfully changed to meet the ADA requirements.	
 Adopt a 5-percent across-the-board reduction in costs, 		1. The largest policy change made was the new process of validating eligibility for MetroAccess paratransit. This was implemented fully in March 2012, allowing tighter controls over program resources.	
based on the fiscal year 2010 operating and		2. Service level was changed from a standard of "door-through-door" to the new ADA standard of "curb-to-curb".	
capital budget of		3. "Taxi vouchers on request" was a premium non-ADA mandated service that was eliminated.	
\$206.2 million.Require Capital		4. 30 minute ready window was changed from the previous 15 min window to a more flexible 30 minute window.	
Metro and		5. Capital Metro formalized the paratransit service area as a ³ / ₄ mile ADA corridor.	
StarTran administrative employees to		"No show" policy with suspension enforcement was implemented to reduce wasted trip resources.	
contribute 4 percent of wages		Three policies were successfully revised to reduce cost by adjusting them closer to the ADA standards but they were not completely reduced to the ADA minimum.	
to their pension plan.		1. Call center hours were reduced by a total of 21 hours per week, but because of public feedback they were not reduced to the minimum required by ADA regulations.	
 Increase paratransit 		 "Open Returns" policy, although not required by the ADA, became more limited based on allowed trip type, but was not eliminated. 	
productivity to achieve a 10-		Advance reservation policy was not reduced to the minimum 1 day in advance required by ADA, but was reduced from 8 days down to 3.	
percent reduction in costs by revising policies that		 Bus fare of 50 cents for groups currently riding free and charge \$2 for paratransit rides. (Frank Ordaz, Director of Financial Planning) 	
exceed Americans		Status: Complete - Alternative action taken	
with Disabilities Act requirements, including taxi		Effective January 16, 2011, adults over 65 and persons with disabilities, who used to ride for free, began paying \$0.50 for a single ride as a reduced fare. In addition, the fare for paratransit riders increased from \$1.20 to \$1.50 per ride.	
vouchers, open returns, door-to-		On November 10, 2010, the board adopted this fare change.	
door services, and reservations.		 UT-Austin contract to cover 65% of CMTA's fully allocated costs of providing shuttle services. (Frank Ordaz, Director of Financial Planning) 	
 Charge a bus fare 		Status: Complete - Alternative action taken	
of 50 cents for groups currently riding free (\$1.7 million in revenues) and charge \$2 for paratransit rides (\$155,600 in		The Capital Metro board took alternative actions to increase cost recovery on the UT Shuttle contract. The board approved a two-year base contract with eight one-year options with the University of Texas at the July 26, 2010 board of directors meeting. The University reimburses Capital Metro for 50 percent of the UT Shuttle service direct operating costs on a per vehicle hour of service basis. In addition, the University pays Capital Metro a fee for each trip taken by a student on regular fixed-route and rail services. This fee was \$0.40 cents per ride for the first year and from year two onward; this fee is 50% of the base fare.	
revenues). Renegotiate the 		 Freeze capital spending on expansions of commuter rail that use tax revenue as a source of funding, including extra sidings or double tracking, as long as safety is not compromised. (Frank Ordaz, Director of Financial Planning) 	
UT-Austin contract to cover 65		Status: Complete	
percent of Capital		The approved FY2011 capital budget did not include expenditures for the expansion of commuter rail	
Metro's fully allocated costs of providing shuttle services.		except for improvements to existing pedestrian crossings, fencing of right of way and the installation of tank shields to existing commuter rail vehicles for safety reasons. The FY2011 capital budget also included funding for "state of good repair" infrastructure projects, such as bridge replacements identified in the agency's Railroad Bridge Safety Management Program and bridge repairs.	
 Freeze capital spending on expansions of commuter rail that 		The approved FY2012 capital budget did not include expenditures for the expansion of commuter rail except for improvement of existing pedestrian crossings for safety reasons, the construction of a fully enclosed bike shelter at the Kramer Station to allow bicyclist to park their bikes in a secured area and the payment of retainage of existing ticket vending machines at commuter rail stations.	
use tax revenues as a source of funding, including		The proposed FY2013 capital budget does not include expenditures for the expansion of commuter rail except for the final obligation of the Martin Luther King Station for the design and construction of	
ranang, meraang			

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions			
Management	Implementation		
Action	Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions	
extra sidings (\$5 million) or double- tracking (\$48 million), as long as safety is not compromised.		hardscape and landscape improvements on a portion of Alexander Avenue, an upgrade to existing ticket vending machines to support zip code verification, an upgrade to existing security camera for commuter rail vehicles and improvements to existing pedestrian crossings for safety reasons, improvements in the current layout of the tracks at the Plaza Saltillo station to allow for increased development of the site, and "state of good repair" infrastructure projects, such as railroad track rehabilitation, railroad bridge repair and upgrade, and design of a commuter rail control system for safety reasons.	
 Review all capital spending projects and put on hold 		 Review all capital spending projects and put on hold any not immediately needed to ensure public safety or that would not jeopardize federal funding if not completed. (Frank Ordaz, Director of Financial Planning) 	
any not immediately		Status: Complete - Not selected for implementation	
needed to ensure public safety or that would not jeopardize federal funding if not completed. For example, discontinue bus stop upgrades not required by the Americans with Disabilities Act.		Capital Metro staff evaluated all existing and proposed capital projects to ensure alignment with the agency's strategic plan. Projects that involve safety or regulatory compliance were given priority when allocating funding. Based on public input and agency objectives, the FY2011 budget includes projects needed from a safety or federal funding standpoint as well as those needed for continued operation of service. For example, projects that improve bus stop accessibility, maintain the agency's existing infrastructure and assets in a state of good repair, and respond to ongoing customer demand are included in the FY2011 budget.	
Capital Metro should	Fully Implemented	Responsible Manager: Donna Simmons, HR Director and Labor Transition Project Manager	
develop a competitive	as of:	Status: Complete	
procurement plan for transit services.	April 30, 2012 - Paratransit May 4, 2012 - Fixed Route	A comprehensive project plan was developed and used to guide the transition to an outsourced labor force for bus and paratransit operations. The procurement process has been cited as a best practice by the contractors involved in the solicitation process. Effective August 19, 2012, fixed route and paratransit operations will transition to the selected contractors, which is ahead of the statutory deadline of September 1, 2012.	
Capital Metro's Board	Fully Implemented	Responsible Manager: Melvin Clark, Vice President Rail Operations	
should take immediate action to prioritize needed	as of: May 18, 2011 - Madification 11	The Board took the following actions to address the replacement, repair, and maintenance of its railroad bridges:	
replacement, repair,	Modification 11 December 12, 2011	Contract Modification 11 executed on May 18, 2011:	
and maintenance of its railroad bridges.	- Modification 15	 10 condition 1 bridges must be raised to condition 3 by June 1, 2012 and maintained at condition 3 until the end of the contract. 	
		 50 condition 2 bridges must be raised to condition to 3 by June 1, 2015 and maintained at condition 3 until the end of the contact. 	
		 Maintain all existing condition 3 bridges at condition 3 or higher as of the date of the modification to condition 3 or higher until the end of the contract. 	
		Contract Modification 11 status as of August 1, 2012:	
		 10 of 10 condition one bridges have been raised to condition three (100% Complete) 	
		14 of 50 condition two bridges have been raised to condition three (28% Complete)	
		Contract Modification 15 executed on December 12, 2011:	
		 Raise two condition 1 bridges to condition 3 by March 12, 2013 and maintain at condition 3 until the end of the contract 	
		 Raise one condition 2 bridge to condition 3 by March 12, 2013 and maintain at condition 3 until the end of the contract 	
		Contract Modification 15 status as of August 1, 2012:	
		 1 of 2 condition one bridges raised to condition three (50% Complete) 	
		 1 of 1 condition two bridges have been raised to condition three (100% Complete) 	
		Modifications 11 and 15 address the condition upgrades and ongoing maintenance of each of Capital Metro's railroad bridges with the exception of the one located at milepost 52.44. Upgrading the bridge at milepost	

	Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions	
		52.44 is scheduled to be addressed as one of the projects in the FY 13 Capital Program. This project will convert wood deck of bridge 52.44 to open deck structure.	
		Maintaining all of Capital Metro's railroad bridges at a condition 3 is a currently a part of Capital Metro's capital improvement plan.	
		In FY 13, Capital Metro will begin a load rating analysis program for all of its in-service railroad bridges in order to comply with Federal Railroad Administration regulations in CFR 49 Part 237 Bridge Safety Standards.	
		Our Bridge Safety Management Plan Version 4a dated April 16, 2012 also addresses one of the requirements of FRAs Bridge Safety Standards.	
		Based on the above, we have fully implemented the Sunset recommendation.	
Capital Metro should	Fully Implemented	Responsible Manager: Melvin Clark, Vice President Rail Operations	
develop a contract monitoring plan for major rail projects to	as of August 2012	Commuter Rail Operations: A high level contract management plan (CMP) was completed in May 2010. Rail staff attended contract management training in April 2012. An enhanced detailed CMP was completed 8/2012.	
ensure accountability for the cost-effective delivery of services.		Freight Rail Operations: The Rail Operations organization has been managing the lease contract with Watco using a CMP with yearly metrics that monitor performance on a monthly basis since the contract was executed in 12/2010.	
		Rail Operations also uses the budget metrics of Revenue per Car Move, Expense per Car Move, and Car Moves for the budget year, and they are measured, charted, reported and explained for any variances that occur as a result of monthly operations. Rail Operations also uses the following metrics to manage the freight contractor in order to review and analyze the car movements on the freight line. In addition, Rail Operations will perform "one off" analysis as required and/or requested for specific measurement or comparisons to review performance for specific requests by management.	
		In addition to the CMP, Capital MetroRail staff is also in the process of developing a Business Plan for Freight Rail Operations. The "business" plan will provide the following:	
		 A legal opinion as to the Common Carrier Freight Obligation for CMTA 	
		 Capacity of the rail line for freight car moves based on the current infrastructure and the current commuter operating times 	
		Capacity of the rail line for freight cars moves should CMTA invest and run FRA compliant passenger cars	
		 The type of business model CMTA should pursue to manage the freight operation for the long range. 	
		Commission on State Emergency Communications	
The Commission should maintain internal program- related performance	Substantially Implemented	The FY 2011 and FY 2012 Commission contracts with the Regional Poison Control Centers (RPCCs) identify internal program-related performance measures that are to be reported on a quarterly basis to the CSEC. The CSEC staff maintains the performance measures reported by the six (6) RPCCs. These measures include:	
measures for [the		 Call Type: human, animal, information and others. 	
Texas Poison Center Network] TPCN.		 Number of Human Exposures Per Call Taker Full Time Employee: number of salaried full time employee call takers, and total number of hours all salaried full time employee call takers worked. 	
		 Educational Activities: number of public education presentations conducted, number of people reached, number of professional education presentations, number of professionals reached; and number of counties reached by personal presentations. 	
		 Number of research projects completed. 	
		 Number of network -wide cooperative measures completed; and number of medical guidelines completed. 	
		The 8-nd Legislature made changes to the Health and Safety Code, Chapter 777, giving the CSEC authority to standardize the operations of and implement management controls to improve the efficiency of the poison control centers, and to submit a plan for doing so to the LBB and GOBPP. The Commission approved the plan on September 13, 2011, and the plan was submitted by October 31, 2011, as required. Two action items on the plan relate directly to performance measures.	
		According to the plan of action, the Commission adopted Rule 254.3, Regional Strategic Plans and Reporting for Poison Control Service on March 7, 2012. Section (d) of the rule requires the RPCCs to report performance measures quarterly; and, that the RPCCs use the Commission's standard financial and	

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
		performance reports as adopted in an adopted Program Policy Statement.
		According to the plan of action, a Program Policy Statement for reporting quarterly performance measures is scheduled for the Commission's next regularly scheduled meeting on October 10, 2012. The Program Policy Statement will provide "how to" instructions to the RPCCs and will more clearly and specifically define the internal program-related performance measures to be reported to, and maintained by, CSEC.
		The CSEC quarterly performance reporting policy will be implemented with the RPCC submission of 1st Quarter FY 2013 performance measures.
		Commission on Environmental Quality
Direct TCEQ to amend its mission	Fully Implemented as of September	On September 14, 2012, the TCEQ Commissioners met in Commission Work Session (CWS) to continue the discussion from a previous CWS addressing possible revisions to the TCEQ's Mission Statement.
statement to include the concept of	14, 2012	By a unanimous vote, the Commissioners approved revising the TCEQ Mission Statement to reflect the Sunset Advisory Commission's Management Directive.
protecting public health.		In making those revisions, the following comments were made:
nearth.		Revising the statement is a formality that describes what the agency already does;
		 Commission actions reflect the language in the revised statement;
		 the revised language is not being changed in an effort to allow a cause of action that the agency is not protecting public health; and
		 this revision will not change what the agency strives to accomplish.
		Below is the language adopted by the Commissioners on September 14, 2012, which is verbatim the language in the SSAC report on TCEQ.
		Revised TCEQ Mission Statement
		The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality strives to protect our state's public health and natural resources consistent with sustainable economic development. Our goal is clean air, clean water, and the safe management of waste
		TCEQ Contact:
		Diane Mazuca
		Special Projects Coordinator
		Office of Legal Services/TCEQ
		512/239-3504
		diane.mazuca@tceq.state.gov
		Texas A&M Forest Service
TFS should evaluate its organizational structure to develop	Incomplete/ Ongoing	The agency has changed the organizational structure for its Forest Health and Urban Forestry programs to achieve greater efficiencies. The agency's executive leadership is scheduled to meet on August 27th to discuss the need for any further changes.
a more comprehensive statewide approach to delivering its		The agency had originally planned to complete a review of its organizational structure by the end of FY2011; however, the agency's ability to accomplish this was greatly impacted by the catastrophic and historic 2011 fire season which lasted most of calendar 2011. We anticipate full implementation of this recommendation by December 31, 2012.
services.		Responsible Persons:
		Tom Boggus, Director
		Mark Stanford, Associate Director for Forest Resource Protection
		Bill Oates, Associate Director for Forest Resource Development and Sustainable Forestry
		Robby DeWitt, Associate Director for Finance and Administration

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
Direct TFS to reduce the current number of its field offices, collocating staff with other public agencies when possible.	Incomplete/ Ongoing	In August of 2011, the agency tasked members of its Leadership Enrichment Program (Class #2) with conducting a comprehensive assessment of the agency's office locations. Progress meetings have been held with the agency's Executive Team throughout the past year and the final assessment report is anticipated to be completed in September. The agency's Executive Team will utilize this report in making decisions regarding future office locations and reductions.
		It should be recognized that the agency has closed a number of small offices during the past eight years. These closings include the following locations:
		 Alto (Indian Mound Nursery)
		Amarillo
		Center
		 Coldspring
		 Horseshoe Bay
		 Jefferson
		 Tyler
		 Waco
		These closures were made based upon due consideration for programmatic needs, projected cost savings, and operational efficiencies.
		The agency has also made a concerted effort to co-locate with other public agencies. Currently, 32 of the agency's locations are in facilities owned by other state or local governmental entities.
		We anticipate full implementation of this recommendation by December 31, 2012.
		Responsible Persons:
		Tom Boggus, Director
		Mark Stanford, Associate Director for Forest Resource Protection
		Bill Oates, Associate Director for Forest Resource Development and Sustainable Forestry
		Robby DeWitt, Associate Director for Finance and Administration
Direct the agency to cross-train program	Fully Implemented as of February 28,	The agency has made a conscientious and concerted effort to cross-train staff. Noted examples include the following:
delivery staff in both firefighting and	2012	Fire Training and Response
forestry programs, as appropriate.		 All East Texas Resource Specialists and District Foresters continue to train and respond as firefighters and fireline supervisors.
		 85% (17 of 20) FRD Central and West Texas field staff have attended agency wildfire and emergency response training, including courses for basic firefighter, fire supervisor, incident command system, fire behavior, public information officer, fire prevention teams, status check-in recorder and post-fire recovery for landowners.
		 Central and West Texas FRD staff have participated in 32 wildfire response mobilizations in 2011 and 2012.
		 Currently 11 of the 22 FIA employees have attended the basic firefighter training class and assisted with the response during the 2011 Fire Season either through direct firefighting or support activities.
		Resource Development Training
		 In 2012, Incident Response field personnel in Central and West Texas (including the newly hired firefighter and Task Force positions) attended training in Forest Health, Urban and Community Forests, tree identification, mature tree management, tree measurement and assessment and the Texas Big Tree Registry program.
		Drought Impact Study
		 In 2012 the TFS FRD Division began a drought impact survey to assess statewide tree mortality following the 2011 drought. Survey data collection involves approximately 700 plots (75' radius) located across the state. FRP field staff in Central and West Texas are assisting FRD and East Texas foresters with site access, scheduling and plot measurements.
		Emerging Communities Program
		 Rapid growth impacts communities in a wide range of issues that include water quality, emergency

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
		management, wildfire, urban forests, wildlife and environmental impacts. FRD staff have launched workshops statewide to address proactive solutions for the impacted emerging communities. FRP is engaged with FRD in providing speakers and subject matter experts to assist with the development of wildfire protection plans and Firewise Communities.
		Other Examples of Cross-Divisional Program Support Include
		 Bastrop Lost Pines Recovery Workshops, Lost Pines Recovery Team Meetings, and Seedling Give-a-Way (Bastrop Home Products Show).
		 2011 Post Fire Property Damage Assessments (PK and Rock House) & Town Hall Meetings (Fort Davis & Bastrop Complex).
		 August 2012 Changing Roles Workshop in New Braunfels, TX.
		 GIS collaboration with "quick" post-fire resource assessment, mapping, imagery and analysis of the Bastrop Complex.
		 FRP Resource Specialist assisting with Forest Inventory Analysis plots in the Trans-Pecos.
		 FIA data collection has been expanded to include measurements that support fire danger and fire behavior calculations.
		Cross-training is an on-going initiative.
		Responsible Persons:
		Mark Stanford, Associate Director for Forest Resource Protection
		Bill Oates, Associate Director for Forest Resource Development and Sustainable Forestry
TFS should make [Volunteer Fire Department] VFD	Fully Implemented as of July 31, 2012	The agency updated its website for the VFD Assistance Program to include links to the annual report, information about program changes, grant application status list, updated funding lists, program procedures, and application scoring criteria.
Assistance Program information readily available to the		Maintenance of this website is an on-going initiative. Additional links and updates will be added over time as appropriate to keep the website up to date.
public.		Responsible Person:
		Joe Fox, Capacity Building Department Head
TFS should streamline VFD Assistance Program administration by	Fully Implemented as of November 30, 2011	The agency requests e-mail contact information as part of the grant application. In November 2011 the agency implemented a new procedure of sending receipt letters, approval letters, grant extensions and grant expiration notices (basically all correspondence except for checks) via e-mail to VFDs. Standard postal service is used after two failed attempts by e-mail.
making better use of electronic		Responsible Person:
communication.		Joe Fox, Capacity Building Department Head
	State Comr	nittee of Examiners in the Fitting and Dispensing of Hearing Instruments
The Committee should reassess its \$500 annual continuing education sponsor fee.	Incomplete/ Ongoing	The Committee will discuss and possibly act on an agenda item relating to the continuing education provider fee at its upcoming meeting scheduled for September 26, 2012.
Direct staff to develop and consistently enforce formal policies and procedures for administration of the practical exam.	Fully Implemented as of January 1, 2012	Staff have developed formal policies and procedures for the administration of the practical exam.

	Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions			
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions		
		Office of Injured Employee Counsel		
Direct the Office to work with [the Division of Workers' Compensation] DWC at the Texas Department of Insurance to ensure injured employees	Fully Implemented as of January 2011	OIEC agrees with this recommendation and has taken numerous steps to ensure injured employees are fully prepared prior to entering the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) administrative dispute resolution system. OIEC procedures have been changed to fully implement the agency's early intervention efforts. The primary objective of OIEC's early intervention efforts is to ensure that injured employees are prepared to proceed and have obtained necessary information to permit them to make the strongest case possible to establish their entitlement to indemnity and medical benefits. The application of DWC's benefit review conference rules that enforce HB 2605 helped implement this recommendation except in cases when a first assigned impairment rating is disputed. In those claims,		
are fully prepared by Ombudsmen before attending a DWC Benefit Review		claimants are often forced by rule to enter the DWC's Benefit Review Conference (BRC) process prior to being prepared in order to preserve a claimant's right to dispute the rating. OIEC provided both public rule comment to the rulemaking initiative and filed a rule petition to resolve this issue. DWC denied both the rule comment and rule petition.		
Conference.		OIEC notes it is difficult to discourage its customers from entering the dispute resolution process if they are not fully prepared, particularly when those customers are without an income source. However, OIEC also understands the paramount need to ensure State resources are used efficiently and effectively.		
		Documentation of efforts made by OIEC staff to resolve claims prior to requesting a BRC are attached to each DWC-045 form filed by the agency in accordance with DWC's BRC Rules.		
		OIEC employees responsible for implementation: Ombudsman Program staff.		
Direct the Office to work with DWC to complete firewalls in the new database	Fully Implemented as of October 26, 2011	OIEC agrees with this recommendation to work with DWC during its development of the new computer system to include proper firewalls restricting information from field office staff. OIEC's access to the current DWC information technology system has been restricted in order to avoid the appearance of impropriety.		
system.		OIEC formed a committee with DWC staff to develop a new system to ensure appropriate access of information to OIEC staff. OIEC's management is sensitive to the appearance of impropriety and is committed to working with DWC to ensure that all system participants operate on a level playing field in the administrative dispute resolution process. As a result, on October 26, 2011, both OIEC and DWC staff executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that provides for limited and appropriate access to regulatory information by OIEC employees in only those cases where the agency is providing assistance in an injured employee's claim. Additionally, every OIEC employee has signed an attestation to the terms of the MOU in an agency effort to reinforce accountability of the MOU and its restricted access to information.		
		OIEC Form OMB-02. The "Ombudsman Assistance Request Form," was revised to include language that instructs the agency customer to specifically indicate permission for OIEC staff to access their claim file. In addition, processes were developed for OIEC employees to seek and document verbal permission to access an injured employee's confidential claim information in those cases where written permission has not yet been obtained.		
		New computer codes were created and are now entered in OIEC customer files after authorization to access to the file has been properly obtained by OIEC staff.		
		OIEC employees responsible for implementation: all OIEC employees.		
		Racing Commission		
The Commission should review the operations and management of all active racetrack	Incomplete/ Ongoing	In response to new Texas Racing Act (TRA) § 6.0601, the Commission has recently adopted rules to guide its designation of racetrack licenses as active or inactive. Only active racetrack licenses are subject to the operations and management review required under TRA § 6.06(k). Inactive racetracks are subject to the review and renewal process of TRA § 6.0602. Therefore, the review of active licenses cannot begin until after the Commission has made this designation.		
licenses.		The instructional provisions found in Section 28(a) of HB 2271 require the Commission to make this designation no later than September 1, 2012. At its meeting on August 16, 2012, the Commission met this requirement by designating seven racetrack licenses as active and six licenses as inactive. Now that this designation has been made, the Commission will develop rules to establish a staggered schedule and review procedure for active licenses. These rules are required by the instructional provisions found in Section 28(b) of HB 2271.		

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
		Soil and Water Conservation Board
The State Board should use a stakeholder process to develop grant goals and performance measures, and to routinely use grant results to improve existing programs.	Fully Implemented as of February 22, 2012	This recommendation applies to two programs. Program: Water Supply Enhancement Program (WSEP) Program Director: Johnny Oswald The WSEP established a formal stakeholder group. The stakeholder group met on February 22, 2012 and discussed a number of primary and secondary goals for the Program, as well as advisory committees on feasibility studies and program implementation and rules. Discussion resulted in consensus that water savings as a result of brush management through the Program was the most appropriate and direct measure of effectiveness, therefore it was established as the overall goal. This group will meet on a consistent basis to make adjustments to program goals as necessary. The water savings goal for Fiscal Year 2013 was set at 882 million gallons per year. These goals exist as an output performance measure for the Program. The agency considered this recommendation to be fully implemented for this program when the existing water savings target associated with the Program's applicable performance measure was chosen to represent the primary goal at the stakeholder meeting. Program Director: Lee Munz To utilize a stakeholder process, the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Program initially focused on the Local Work Group gatherings that already meet annually in each Texas county to set priorities for USDA-Farm Bill programs. The group's membership was determined to be an appropriate representation of the WOMP Program's stakeholders, and agency staff attended a selection of these meetings across the state to garner input on goals for the Program. Subsequent to implementing this approach, agency management initiated a major assessment of the Program at the directino of the governing board whereby the entire process for allocating grant funds would be modified to more adequately address changing water quality and quantity concerns across the state. The TSSWCB intends to present a sweeping set of recommendations to the agency's governing board in September 2012 for their considerati
The State Board should approve brush species eligible for treatment through the Program.	Fully Implemented as of September 21, 2011	Program: Water Supply Enhancement Program (WSEP) Program Director: Johnny Oswald Brush species eligible for treatment through the Program are listed in the State Plan which is approved by the agency's governing board. Fiscal Year 2012 species represented in projects funded through the Program include Mesquite, Ashe Juniper, and Red Berry Juniper. These projects are located in Twin Buttes Watershed, Pedernales River Watershed, Guadalupe River Watershed, Lake Brownwood Watershed, Little Wichita Watershed, and the Edwards Aquifer watershed. This recommendation was fully implemented on September 21, 2011, when the State Board approved funding allocations for the Program for Fiscal Year 2012.

	Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions	
The State Board should continue to dedicate a portion of its funding to evaluate the effectiveness of the Program.	Fully Implemented. (Date is not applicable. This is a recommended management action to continue conducting an already implemented activity.)	 Program: Water Supply Enhancement Program (WSEP) Program Director: Johnny Oswald The WSEP Program continues to dedicate a portion of its funding to evaluate the effectiveness of the Program. Examples include: USGS, 12CSTX098000000: This agreement provides payment to USGS to develop a SWAT model to project the efficacy of brush control at the basin scale in the Upper Guadalupe River watershed. Rainwater, 2012-13007-27068: This agreement provides payment to Dr. Ken Rainwater to assist the TSSWCB in engineering support for determining monitoring and water yields in WSEP projects. Texas Tech Univ., 13007-2011-01: This agreement provides payment to Texas Tech to collect data and provide GIS maps for the EDYS model, which will be used as a tool to identify the most advantageous locations for conducting water supply enhancement through brush control. 	
		Department of Transportation	
Direct TxDOT management to develop a plan for implementing recommendations of the Sunset Commission, the Restructure Council, and the Grant Thornton audit.	Fully Implemented as of July 1, 2011	The recommendation is fully implemented. TxDOT management developed plans for implementing recommendations of the Sunset Commission, the Restructure Council, and the Grant Thornton audit in fiscal year 2011.	
		Water Development Board	
The Board should request a full exemption for [the Texas Natural Resources Information System] TNRIS from the data center services contract at [the Department of Information Resources] DIR to accommodate its statutory emergency management responsibilities.	Incomplete/ Ongoing	TWDB is participating in the Pilot Texas Cloud Offering (PTCO) through DIR to address TNRIS' needs. The PTCO contract expires in August of 2013 at which time the TWDB will assess whether to continue with the cloud provider under the PTCO or work with the new DIR Data Center Services vendors for providing a better solution.	
Department of Insurance - Division of Workers' Compensation			
The Division should require a review of all Contested Case Hearing decisions to ensure consistency amongst field office staff.	Fully Implemented as of April 13, 2010	On April 13, 2010 the Division reinstated its mandatory reviews of Contested Case Hearing decisions by the Hearings Division. Prior to this date hearing officers had the choice of submitting their Contested Case Hearing decisions to the Hearings Division for review. Attached is a copy of the Division's current policy and procedure requiring mandatory reviews of Contested Case Hearing decisions. [Attachment is not presented in the SAO report] Implementation Contact: Dan Barry, Acting Deputy Commissioner for Hearings (512) 804-4439	

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions		
Management Action	Implementation Status	Entity Comments on Management Actions
quality review Implement	Substantially Implemented as of:	The Division developed and implemented a Medical Quality Review Procedure effective January 1, 2011, which includes information regarding:
process by clarifying the Quality Assurance	January 1, 2011 -	 the purpose and role of the Quality Assurance Panel in the medical quality review process;
Panel's involvement.	The original Medical Quality Review Procedure	 a statement that the Medical Advisor selects the Quality Assurance Panel from the members of the Medical Quality Review Panel (MQRP) based on their medical expertise, specialty backgrounds, and their experience in utilization review and quality assurance;
	became effective. July 27, 2012 - The Division proposed	 a statement that the Quality Assurance Panel members are also selected to ensure that the panel is comprised of health care professionals from diverse health care backgrounds;
	new rules, updated	 the length of time a member may serve on the Quality Assurance Panel (2 year terms);
	the medical quality	the frequency of Quality Assurance Panel meetings (at least quarterly);
	review process, and proposed a new DWC Form-072	 the roles and responsibilities of staff for the Office of Medical Advisor and the Quality Assurance Panel; and
	for public comment.	 a statement that the Medical Advisor will provide Quality Assurance Panel member with status on the number and types of final dispositions of enforcement cases originating from medical quality reviews.
		A copy of this procedure can be found here: http://www.tdi.texas.gov/wc/hcprovider/documents/omamqrocedure1210.pdf.
		The Division has also proposed new rules (28 TAC §§180.60, 180.62, 180.64, 180.66, 180.68, 180.70, 180.72, 180.74, 180.76, and 180.78) regarding the Medical Quality Review Panel (MQRP), the Quality Assurance Panel and the medical quality review process that further clarify the Quality Assurance Panel's role in the process.
		Specifically, these proposed rules outline the scope of the medical quality review process, the rights and responsibilities of persons involved in the medical quality review process, the qualifications and composition of both the MQRP and Quality Assurance Panel, training requirements for MQRP members, disqualifying associations, the frequency of Quality Assurance Panel meetings and procedures to ensure that MQRP and Quality Assurance Panel members are kept informed of enforcement outcomes. The rule proposal was published in the <i>Texas Register</i> on 07/27/2012 and a public hearing was held on these rules on 08/13/2012. On October 17, 2012, Commissioner Rod Bordelon adopted the rules and October 18,2012 the process document was adopted. Both the rules and the revised process will be effective on January 1, 2013.
		To accompany the proposed new rules, the Division has proposed changes to the Medical Quality Review Process (formerly called the Medical Quality Review Procedure) and proposed a new DWC Form-072 form (Medical Quality Review Application) for public comment. The Division plans to further clarify the role of Quality Assurance Panel members and Division staff during Quality Assurance Panel meetings in the Medical Quality Review Process before it is finally adopted. The new DWC Form-072 will be used by health care practitioners who want to apply to become members of the MQRP. The comment deadline for this process and new form is 08/27/2012 and a public meeting with stakeholders is planned for September 5, 2012. The Division expects adoption of the proposed rules, Medical Quality Review Process, and new DWC Form-072 by the end of the calendar year.
		Implementation Contact: Dr. Donald Patrick, Medical Advisor (512) 804-4415 and Mary Landrum, Manager, Health care Policy and Implementation (512) 804-4814
Direct the Division to develop an ex parte communication policy relating to cases under investigation	Fully Implemented as of: August 15, 2012 - Internal procedure memorialized in writing.	The Division has been following internal procedure since 2009 to prevent any ex parte communication within the Division for State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) proposals for decision regarding certain enforcement actions (i.e., those actions not involving monetary penalties) that return to the Commissioner of Workers' Compensation for final decision. Since the passage of HB 2605, this internal procedure has been extended to include Commissioner decisions on all enforcement actions (including those actions involving monetary penalties) and was recently memorialized in writing.
	January 24, 2012 - New enforcement rules adopted.	Agency staff is also required to comply with the State Bar of Texas Ethics Opinion 587 (involving ex parte communications between attorneys and agency decision makers on agency matters) for cases under investigation. The Division's General Counsel has also provided guidance to various program areas within the Division and the Commissioner of Workers' Compensation to ensure that staff is notified about this opinion and to assist staff with specific questions as necessary.
		Additionally, the Division has adopted several rule amendments as well as new rules (28 TAC §§180.1, 180.3, 180.5, 180.8, 180.27, 180.4, 180.9 and 180.10 28) to implement the various changes to enforcement provisions of the Labor Code made by HB 2605, including new rules regarding ex parte emergency cease and desist orders and proposals for decision.

Status of Entity Implementation of Sunset Advisory Commission Management Actions							
Management Action	Imp	lementation Status		Entity	y Comments on Manage	ement Actions	
			Attached is: [Attachments are not presented in the SAO report]				
			 a copy of the Division's ex parte communication procedure; 				
			 a copy of the State bar of Texas' Ethics Opinion 587 (May 2009); and 				
			 a copy of the adopted rules implementing all of the changes to various enforcement provisions in the Labor Code as a result of the passage of HB 2605, including ex parte emergency cease and desist orders and proposals for decision (see <u>http://www.tdi.texas.gov/wc/rules/adopted/documents/ao1800212m.pdf</u>). 				
			Implementation Contact: Dirk Johnson, General Counsel (512) 804-4422 and Leah Gillum, Workers' Compensation Litigation Director (512) 804-4278				
The Division should closely coordinate with other state agencies to include nonsubscription reporting requirements in their print and electronic publications.	as of:	h 2012 - irmed that all r state agency sites were	In September 2011 the Division completed a re-organization of the Division's Employer Resource webpage to facilitate external access to relevant information about workers' compensation coverage, benefits and requirements for non-subscribing employers.				
	other Web s updat July 1 Adopt		In March 2012 the Division completed a project to update workers' compensation and non-subscription information on other agencies' websites that are specifically geared for Texas employers, including updating weblinks on those other agency websites to the Division's new Employer Resource webpage. This update was completed with the following agencies/websites (see attached documentation): [Attachments are not presented in the SAO report]				
			 Texas Workforce Commission; 				
			 Governor's Office; 				
			 Texas.gov; 				
			 Comptroller of Public Accounts; and 				
			 Texas Secretary of State's Office. 				
			Additionally, the Division recently completed a project to update all of its existing rules and add new rules (28 TAC §§110.7, 110.103, 110.105, 160.1, 110.1, 110.101, 160.2, and 160.3) relating to non-subscribing employer reporting requirements in an effort to clarify these requirements and reporting timeframes to promote increased employer compliance. As part of this rulemaking effort, the Division also revised two forms - the DWC Form-05 (Employer Notice of No Coverage or Termination of Coverage) and DWC Form-07 (Employer's Report of Non-Covered Employee's Occupational Injury or Disease). A copy of the rules that were adopted on July 13, 2012 can be found here: http://www.tdi.texas.gov/wc/rules/adopted/documents/aonsr0712.pdf .				
			A copy of the new DWC Form-05 and DWC Form-07 forms can be found here:				
			http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numberic.html.				
				mplementation Contact: Amy Lee, Special Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Research (512) 804-4410 and Blanca Guardiola, Program Specialist (512) 804-4716			
Summary for All Entities Reviewed							
Number of ManagementFully ImplemenActions18		ed	Substantially Implemented	Incomplete/ Ongoing	Not Implemented	Total	
		18		2	5	0	25